SMA NECESSITY CHECKLIST

Checklist for Writing a Letter of Medical Necessity

A detailed letter of medical necessity (LMN) contains:

☐ Patient information	on (name, date of birth, address, contact information, ation)		
☐ Provider information	Provider information (name, credentials, clinic/hospital name, contact information)		
☐ Date of the letter			
☐ Recipient informate department/conta	ation (name of insurance company, address, specific ct information)		
☐ Statement of med procedure, equipment	lical necessity (explanation of diagnosis that requires the treatment, nent, medication)		
☐ Medical history (s	ummary of medical condition, diagnosis/onset, co-morbidities)		
☐ Description of me	edical treatment or service requested		
☐ Supporting evide	nce (medical reports, test results)		
☐ Clinical rationale	(benefits and expected outcomes, e.g., improve patient's health, function, quality of life)		
☐ Alternative treatm	nent (What's been done and outcomes or why something cannot be done)		
☐ Duration and free	quency		
☐ Cost information	as applicable		
☐ Conclusion (impo	rtance of the request)		
	nent information when requesting		
Iditional pertir ecific equipme			
ecific equipme ☐ Functional and pl range of motion,			
ecific equipme ☐ Functional and pl range of motion, Instrumental Acti	nysical assessments including, but not limited to, strength, tone, sensation, balance, Activities of Daily Living (ADLs), vities of Daily Living (IADL's), and functional status of other devices considered, and why each was ineffective		
□ Functional and pl range of motion, Instrumental Acti □ Documentation of for the consumer	nysical assessments including, but not limited to, strength, tone, sensation, balance, Activities of Daily Living (ADLs), vities of Daily Living (IADL's), and functional status of other devices considered, and why each was ineffective		
□ Functional and pl range of motion, Instrumental Acti □ Documentation of for the consumer □ Documentation of Justification for the	ent: hysical assessments including, but not limited to, strength, tone, sensation, balance, Activities of Daily Living (ADLs), vities of Daily Living (IADL's), and functional status of other devices considered, and why each was ineffective		
□ Functional and planage of motion, Instrumental Acti □ Documentation of for the consumer □ Documentation of Justification for the option and access	nysical assessments including, but not limited to, strength, tone, sensation, balance, Activities of Daily Living (ADLs), vities of Daily Living (IADL's), and functional status of other devices considered, and why each was ineffective of trialed device(s) and outcomes of the trial(s) ne model of device being recommended as well as each		
□ Functional and planage of motion, Instrumental Acti □ Documentation of for the consumer □ Documentation for the option and access □ Evidence that the independently or	nysical assessments including, but not limited to, strength, tone, sensation, balance, Activities of Daily Living (ADLs), vities of Daily Living (IADL's), and functional status of other devices considered, and why each was ineffective of trialed device(s) and outcomes of the trial(s) ne model of device being recommended as well as each sory required by the consumer consumer demonstrated the ability to safely use the device		
□ Functional and planage of motion, Instrumental Acti □ Documentation of for the consumer □ Documentation for the option and access □ Evidence that the independently or	ent: Inysical assessments including, but not limited to, strength, tone, sensation, balance, Activities of Daily Living (ADLs), vities of Daily Living (IADL's), and functional status of other devices considered, and why each was ineffective of trialed device(s) and outcomes of the trial(s) one model of device being recommended as well as each cory required by the consumer consumer demonstrated the ability to safely use the device with appropriate assistance		

Individualized Considerations for Equipment and Treatment

Jugii Ass	oist:				
	•	tic testing (if able to perform/support the argument)			
□ MIP/MI	☐ MIP/MEP and peak cough flow if reduced				
☐ Diagnosis (SMA, must be stated) and degree of hypotonia					
☐ If physical therapist or evaluator has noted reduced CHOP INTEND scores, include these as indications for global hypotonia					
SMA an	d increa	on on how impaired airway clearance affects the health of the individual with ses the risk for pneumonia and requiring emergency care/hospitalization, and acare utilization			
☐ If clinic	al inforn	nation is available on the following, include:			
	Numbe	r of respiratory illnesses needing antibiotics with urgent care/ER visits			
	Numbe	r of hospitalizations for respiratory illness			
		y clearance vest was used inpatient, state that			
	If the p	atient had a significant improvement in oxygen saturation with decreased need for nental oxygen requirement and decreased work of breathing that is documented after iation of airway clearance, state that as well in the LMN			
rway Cle	earan	ce Vest:			
☐ Include	diagnos	tic testing (if old enough/able to perform/support the argument)			
□ MIP/MI	EP and p	eak cough flow if reduced			
☐ Diagno:	sis (SMA	, must be stated) and degree of hypotonia			
		pist or evaluator has noted reduced CHOP INTEND scores, include these as fuse hypotonia			
		on on how impaired airway clearance affects the health of the child and increases amonia and respiratory failure			
☐ If clinical information is available on the following, include:					
☐ Number of respiratory illnesses needing antibiotics with urgent care/ER visits					
	Numbe	r hospitalizations for respiratory illness			
	If airwa	y clearance vest was used inpatient, state that			
oxygen	requirer	d a significant improvement in oxygen saturation with decreased need for supplemental nent and decreased work of breathing that is documented after the initiation of airway that as well in the LMN			
		er devices like chest physiotherapy (CPT) with hand clapping and handheld oscillatory pella/Flutter/Aerobika) are not appropriate or will not be successful (case by case):			
	PEP/Ac	apella/Flutter/Aerobika:			
		patients must be an appropriate age and have adequate respiratory muscle strength to follow directions to use the device and generate the flows necessary to perform these maneuvers			
		These are patient driven devices			
	Chest I	hysiotherapy (CPT):			
		Multiple care providers/inconsistent care Weight/size (too heavy for providers)			
		Difficulty positioning the patient for CPT Concern for low bone density and			
		Scoliosis Fractures with CPT			

Ventilator and BIPAP Devices:

	y pressure (BIPAP) devices are approved for in home use by children and adults with BIPAP devices are used non-invasively with a mask on the face.				
☐ Ventilators are approved for in home use in children over 5 kg and can be used non-invasively with a mask on the face or with tracheostomy tube					
☐ To qualify for BIPAP device, must include diagnoses of alveolar hypoventilation secondary to neuromuscular weakness or respiratory failure. Do not use Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) as only diagnose.					
☐ Indicate the ventil	ation mode must have a backup respiratory rate				
triggering	due to neuromuscular weakness and alveolar hypoventilation and causes difficulty inspiratory breaths and increased risk for CO2 retention and respiratory failure due terated flows				
☐ For Non-invasive v	rentilatory support in children less than 30kg (66lbs) (requires ventilator)				
☐ Include sl	eep study or lab work that shows respiratory failure/alveolar hypoventilation				
☐ Blood gas	with elevated pCO2				
	tient weight. BiPAP machines do not have adequate flow sensors for smaller and are not FDA approved for NIV use in individuals less than 66lbs (30kg)				
Wheelchair/Stan	der/Bracing				
(Tips for therapist and	durable medical equipment company):				
	on of the client's systems including both neurologic and orthopedic, their at, and their level of function				
☐ State that the patie	☐ State that the patient can't stand or ambulate with any assistive device				
\square State that the patient is unable to use a lesser cost manual chair and why					
☐ State why the patient can't propel a manual wheelchair					
\square If advocating for a	power wheelchair, document reasons the patient is unable to use a scooter				
	t is willing to use the recommended complex rehab technology that has been I they can do so safely				
☐ Discuss how the re	commended complex rehab technology will assist with:				
☐ Functiona	l activities of daily living				
☐ Mobility					
☐ Positionin	g/posture				
☐ Independe	ence				
☐ Other key items to	include:				
☐ A clinical	and medical justification for every aspect of the chair that is recommended				
	nt of concurrence with the physician of the prescribing equipment that added the equipment as well as his or her signature				
☐ A statement of final	ancial independence of the PT or OT from the vendor				
☐ A face-to-face eval	uation from the physician and a prescription from the physician				
☐ Additional docume	Additional documentation will be required if prescribing a power wheelchair				
-	☐ Be up-to-date with the CMS guidelines and local and national coverage determination of mobility assistive equipment				

□ SMA pathophysiology □ Description of the recommended treatment □ Treatment dosing schedule □ Administration of treatment □ Clinical trial information □ Rationale for treatment/failure of alternative treatments □ Impact on functional status

 $\hfill\Box$ Patient's prognosis without treatment

Medication/Treatment